



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Ubited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box,1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
yyww.uspio.gov

<u> </u>						
APPLICATION NO.	FIGING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/461,160	12/14/1999	JOHN THORNLEY	06618/389001	3403		
20985	7590 01/20/2004		EXAMINER			
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			VO, LILIAN			
12390 EL CA	MINO REAL					
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2081			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		2127	10		
		DATE MAILED: 01/20/2004	4 1			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8

		X
t 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/461,160	THORNLEY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lilian Vo	2127
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspond nce address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided for the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thi eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (03 November 2003.	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ -	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-84 is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-45 and 60-84 is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 49,51,55 and 57-59 is/are rejected for claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	s/are withdrawn from consideed.	ration.
Application Papers	na/or cicoaon requirement.	
·· _	minor	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2003 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square on the drawing(s) be held in abeyant prection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don reference was included in the first sentence	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The list of the certified copies not nestic priority under 35 U.S.C are first sentence of the specific provisional application has been estic priority under 35 U.S.C	Application No In received in this National Stage It received. It received. It received. It received in this National Application is an Application Data Sheet. It received. It received is necessary in the specific is a specific in the specific is necessary in the spec
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.	3) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>10</u> . Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/461,160 Page 2

Art Unit: 2127

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 49, 51, 55 and 57 - 59 are presented for examination. The applicants have canceled claims 46 - 48, 50, 52 - 54 and 56.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 1 – 45 and 60 – 84 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 5. However, a complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 11/3/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 49, 51, 55 and 57 59 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2127

Claim 49 recites the limitation "said multithreaded", "the programs" and "said notations", in lines 7 and 9, page 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 51 recite the limitation "the programs" and "said notations", on lines 8 - 9, page 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 55 recites the limitation "the programming", "said notations" and "the programs", in lines 3 – 7 and 9, page 13. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 57 recites the limitation "the programming" and "the programs", in lines 3 and 9, page 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 58 recites the limitation "the statements", "the programming language", "said statement" and "the programs", in lines 4-9, page 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 58 also recites the limitation "said [[s]]] synchronization counter", in line 14, page 15. The examiner does not understand the applicants' intention for that limitation.

Furthermore, claims 49, 51, 55, and 57 recites the limitation "defining equivalence annotations ... the statements are multithreadable", lines 3 - 8, page 12, lines 3 - 8, page 13, lines 3 - 8, page 14. The examiner believes this is a run-on statement.

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/461,160

Art Unit: 2127

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 49, 55 and 57 - 59 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lilian Vo whose telephone number is 703-305-7864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30am - 5pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/461,160

Art Unit: 2127

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on 703-305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Lilian Vo Examiner Art Unit 2127

lv January 9, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	09/461,160	THORNLEY ET	AL.			
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	Art Unit			
	Lilian Vo	2127				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>Lilian_Vo</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Scott Harris</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 08 January 2004.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)⊡ applicant's repres	sentative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: 46.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. (n)□ was not reached.	h) <u></u> N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants' attorney confirmed that claim 46 is cancelled as stated in applicants' remarks</u> , and the listing of the claim as "currently amended" on page 11 is an error, which was filed on 11/3/03.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendmen	niner agreed would rend ts that would render the	der the claims e claims			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
•						
,						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	 Examin	er's signature, if require	d d			

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.